

Housing, Environment, Transport and Community Safety Scrutiny Sub- Committee

Monday 9 July 2012

7.00 pm

Ground Floor Meeting Room G02C - 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

Membership

Councillor Gavin Edwards (Chair)
Councillor Graham Neale (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Chris Brown
Councillor Michael Bukola
Councillor Lorraine Lauder MBE
Councillor Tim McNally
Councillor Martin Seaton
Miriam Facey
John Nosworthy
Jane Salmon
Lesley Wertheimer

Reserves

Councillor James Barber
Councillor Patrick Diamond
Councillor Darren Merrill
Councillor Michael Situ
Councillor Geoffrey Thornton

INFORMATION FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Access to information

You have the right to request to inspect copies of minutes and reports on this agenda as well as the background documents used in the preparation of these reports.

Babysitting/Carers allowances

If you are a resident of the borough and have paid someone to look after your children, an elderly dependant or a dependant with disabilities so that you could attend this meeting, you may claim an allowance from the council. Please collect a claim form at the meeting.

Access

The council is committed to making its meetings accessible. Further details on building access, translation, provision of signers etc for this meeting are on the council's web site: www.southwark.gov.uk or please contact the person below.

Contact Fitzroy Williams on 020 7525 7102 or email: fitzroy.williams@southwark.gov.uk

Members of the committee are summoned to attend this meeting

Eleanor Kelly

Acting Chief Executive

Date: 29 June 2012



Item No.

Title

Housing, Environment, Transport and Community Safety Scrutiny Sub-Committee

Monday 9 July 2012

7.00 pm

Ground Floor Meeting Room G02C - 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

Order of Business

Item No.	Title	Page No.
	PART A - OPEN BUSINESS	
1.	APOLOGIES	
2.	NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT	
	In special circumstances, an item of business may be added to an agenda within five clear working days of the meeting.	
3.	DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS	
	Members to declare any personal interests and dispensation in respect of any item of business to be considered at this meeting.	
4.	MINUTES	1 - 6
	To approve as a correct record the Minutes of the open section of the meeting held on Thursday 19 th April 2012	
5.	DOMESTIC ABUSE - DRAFT REPORT	
6.	WORK PROGRAMMING	7 - 19

Item No.

Title

Page No.

Suggested items for scrutiny for 2012-13

Members are invited to suggest items of business for the scrutiny sub-committee for the coming year.

- a. Resident Involvement Strategy
 - 1. **Cabinet Report**
 - 2. **Officers Response**
 - 3. **Appendix A**
 - 4. **Appendix B**
- b. Halls and Bars
- c. Dog Fouling
- d. 3 Cabinet Member Interviews

DISCUSSION OF ANY OTHER OPEN ITEMS AS NOTIFIED AT THE START OF THE MEETING.

PART B - CLOSED BUSINESS

DISCUSSION OF ANY CLOSED ITEMS AS NOTIFIED AT THE START OF THE MEETING AND ACCEPTED BY THE CHAIR AS URGENT.

Date: Date Not Specified



HOUSING AND COMMUNITY SAFETY SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE

MINUTES of the Housing and Community Safety Scrutiny Sub-Committee held on Thursday 19 April 2012 at 7.00 pm at Ground Floor Meeting Room G02C - 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

PRESENT: Councillor Gavin Edwards (Chair)
Councillor Graham Neale
Councillor Michael Bukola
Councillor Rowenna Davis
Councillor Michael Situ
Councillor Martin Seaton
Miriam Facey
John Nosworthy

CO-OPTED MEMBERS PRESENT: Miriam Facey
John Nosworthy

OFFICER SUPPORT: Andrew Beland, Divisional Business Team Manager
Neil Brown, Head of Area Management
Shelley Burke, Head of Scrutiny
Angela d'Urso, Commissioning & Service Improvement Manager
Shaun Holdcroft, Area Housing Manager
Haleema Khalid, Solace Women's Aid
Jain Lemom, Mayor's Office for Police and Crime
Mary Mason, Chief Executive of Solace Women's Aid
Jonathon Toy, Head of Community Safety & Enforcement
Sally Masson, Scrutiny Project Manager

1. APOLOGIES

- 1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Tim McNally and Jane Salmon, Homeowners' Council.

2. NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

- 2.1 The Chair informed the Sub-Committee that the report on Leaseholder Charging had received a positive response at the Cabinet meeting on the 17 April 2012.

3. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

- 3.1 There were no disclosures of interests or dispensations.

4. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 14 March 2012 be agreed as a true and accurate record.

5. SOUTHWARK'S RESPONSE TO DOMESTIC ABUSE

- 5.1 The Chair felt that it was important for Southwark to keep in mind the following three key areas, as officers continued to develop the work to tackle the problems of domestic abuse:
- No recourse to public funds for women with indeterminate immigration status
 - Monitoring the settling in process of Solace
 - Strengthening the relationship between Southwark Council and the Courts
- 5.2 The Chair invited Jain Lemom, from the Mayor's Office for Police and Crime (MOPC) to say a few words about her work and to share any thinking that could be helpful for Southwark to take the work forward.
- 5.3 Jain Lemom told the sub-committee that she had been working on the Mayor's integrated strategy on all forms of violence against women and girls which included incidents of rape, sexual assault, honour based violence and female genital mutilation.
- 5.4 The Mayor's office strategy on domestic abuse covered a range of issues including refuge provision, the police and encouraging witnesses and victims to go through the court system, to help bring the perpetrators of domestic abuse to justice.
- 5.5 Jain Lemom went on to inform Members of some of the problems she had encountered during her work on the issues of domestic abuse. She said that the London Council budgets had been reduced to help tackle this problem and that the Home Office (HO) was streamlining its service by encouraging women who might be experiencing domestic violence to go to the HO website to find information and support. However, Jain Lemom said that this service might not be able to offer much to women who did not speak English or did not have access to the internet. This possibly was not the best approach to encourage vulnerable women to come forward.

- 5.6 Jain Lemom went on to say that there needed to be better training for the police when dealing with call outs and that more needed to be done to look after witnesses and victims going through the court system to help support them through a stressful time. Work also needed to be enhanced with all partner agencies and all boroughs to help raise awareness and improve the working of the systems overall.
- 5.7 Jain Lemom felt that the way society viewed women had a detrimental effect on the way women were treated by the statutory services. The current system seemed to put the onus of responsibility for crimes against them, unfairly with the female victims. These views were at the heart of why a change in attitude was still difficult to bring about.
- 5.8 Jonathon Toy, Head of Community Safety & Enforcement, remarked that the pan London independent domestic violence advocacy groups had experienced cuts and that women were no longer getting the service that they once had.
- 5.9 Members echoed the need for a more integrated service including the police, councils and the development of a dedicated court service to deal with domestic abuse problems. Currently, perpetrators were aware that if they pleaded not guilty to a crime, the likelihood that the victim would go through with court action resulting in a trial, was not high. Perpetrators understood that the further ordeal of a court case was not something that victims wished to face and that they often dropped out of any prosecution. Members and officers felt that it was very important that something was done about this.
- 5.10 Jain Lemom responded to Members' and officers' concerns by saying that the London crime reduction board, the police and the Mayor were all looking at what could be done about the perpetrator attrition rate. She was happy to work with Southwark in the future and offered to supply examples of good practice from other boroughs to the scrutiny sub-committee. The Chair thanked her for attending the meeting.
- 5.11 Officers highlighted that, although the police could be called to investigate alleged incidents of abuse, these cases might not result in an arrest or a conviction. Occasionally the police would investigate incidents without it being immediately apparent as to who the perpetrator and the victim were. This was something that the police needed additional support with.
- 5.12 Officers told the sub-committee that often it was the victim who was removed from his/her home, the abusive situation, to be re-housed in less than adequate accommodation. A proportion of those victims found themselves on the streets eventually as a result of delays in the processes of obtaining a conviction. Once the victim had been removed from what was perceived as immediate danger, the process tended to slow down and take less of a priority.
- 5.13 Officers also highlighted the importance of partnership work and strengthening the referral pathways. It was acknowledged that the Housing department lacked the partnership working structures to take issues forward.

- 5.14 It was also noted that interventions had to be swift and appropriate in the cases where children were present and vulnerable to the actions and atmosphere of abuse. Officers said that children who were brought up in abusive situations often went on to either become perpetrators or victims of abuse themselves.
- 5.15 The sub-committee received a presentation from Shaun Holdcroft on the data requested by the sub-committee at the end of January 2012.
- 5.16 Mary Mason, Chief Executive of Solace Women's Aid, spoke briefly about the new service which had been up and running in Southwark for two weeks.
- 5.17 Members felt that the service was a very positive asset to Southwark. Some Members felt that the Community Safety department could provide a breakdown of figures for each ward and that breakdowns of the statistics could then be provided to each of the ward councillors. This would be to ensure ward councillors knew the extent of the problem in their areas.
- 5.18 Officers within the Housing department discussed the difficulties of acting on cases of reported incidents, as this often required cooperation with private landlords, other boroughs, legal services and had to be in accordance with legislation. Currently measures included in legislation impacted badly on women with indeterminate immigration status. They had no recourse to public funds to aid them, and officers and Members acknowledged that this situation needed urgent change.
- 5.19 Officers said that strengthening the pathways to Solace could help to resolve some of the housing issues for victims but that the need for temporary accommodation would increase in any case. This was problematic as the overall need for housing was increasing along with a growing population which was compounding the current problems with overcrowding in private, council and housing association homes. It was felt that the housing situation and the economic constraints on individual families could trap some victims in abusive situations as no viable alternative was open to them.
- 5.20 The Chair thanked Mary Mason. The Chair and the sub-committee went on to make some possible recommendations:

There should be more development work on:

- Specialist domestic abuse courts
- Development of a partnership forum (cross agency work)
- Communication and publicity to signpost Solace
- Looking at and pulling out examples of good practice to be provided by Jain Lemom
- A site visit to Solace at some time in the future
- The temporary housing situation where the priority for cases is not so strong
- Building links with other community groups to get the message out
- Building trust between community groups, the council and other partners to raise awareness and increase reporting and related actions

- 5.21 Both officers and Members were keen to highlight that the impossibility of recourse to public funds was still a very big issue for some women, especially for those women with indeterminate immigration status and more needed to be done to bring about change.
- 5.23 The Chair thanked all and said that he would be drafting a report to submit to the next sub-committee meeting for approval and agreement.

6. ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR ON SOUTHWARK ESTATES

- 6.1 Neil Brown, Head of Area Management, gave a presentation on low level anti social behaviour (ASB) on Southwark's housing estates.
- 6.2 The discussion between Members and officers highlighted several areas of action:
- Housing to look at ways of tackling low level ASB to free up Community Safety to deal with more serious, higher level ASB problems.
 - Officers and partner agencies need to be realistic with victims about the possible outcomes of reporting cases of ASB.
 - Residents on Southwark's estates need to be more aware of the ASB service that the Housing department can provide.
 - More thought was needed on how the council engages with partners in this time of economic constraints and how best to utilise the service with the resources already in place.
 - To look at Community Safety working in a way similar to that of a Multi Agency Risk Assessment style.
 - Housing and Community Safety need to look at how to increase legal action in disputes on overcrowded neighbourhoods and how to deal more effectively and speedily whilst enhancing the legal aspects of support to deal with cases.
 - To look at current policy development to deal with the various categories of ASB from low level (noise and loitering), to medium (cannabis smoking) and higher level (verbal and physical harassment)
- 6.3 Officers felt that there were two strands of work that needed to be explored further:
- Face to face interactions with officers, community groups and other agencies.
 - More work with all agencies in the investigation and actions to resolve neighbourhood disputes
- 6.4 Managing residents' expectations was seen as an important factor in any work undertaken and officers and partner agencies needed to be clear about what could

realistically be achieved to improve situations. There needed to be reliable advice given to all those concerned.

- 6.5 Members wanted officers to come back to the sub-committee in the near future. They wanted to hear about the department's situation in relation to ASB work, detailing how their service was improving and what areas still needed to be worked upon.
- 6.6 The Chair thanked Neil Brown and Officers.

7. WELFARE REFORM: COMMITTEE TO AGREE LETTERS

- 7.1 The sub-committee provisionally agreed the letters with a slight amendment to the wording regarding families being encouraged to live in appropriately sized accommodation.

Item No.	Classification: Open	Date: 19/06/2012	Meeting Name: Cabinet
Report title:		Resident involvement and resident association recognition and grants	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		All	
From:		Overview & Scrutiny Committee	

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the cabinet notes the recommendations of the brief scrutiny review of resident involvement and resident association recognition and grants, and asks Councillor Ian Wingfield, cabinet member for housing management, to bring back a report to cabinet, in order to respond to the overview and scrutiny committee, by the 25 September 2012 cabinet meeting.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. Overview & Scrutiny Committee undertook a brief review of resident involvement and resident association recognition and grants in response to issues raised by local residents in respect of the resident involvement service.
3. At its meeting on 8 May 2012, the committee received a briefing note from the strategic director of housing, a copy of which is attached as an appendix. In addition to considering the briefing note, the committee received oral evidence from a number of TRA representatives.
4. The committee asked officers to circulate its members with additional information on:
 - the number of TRAs who made applications in 2009/10
 - officers' contact with all TRAs, in order to assist with the recognition and grant application process
 - TRAs who have not made an application for funding and recognition, in order to assist ward councillors with supporting local TRAs to complete an application, where appropriate.
5. The committee also asked officers to provide Councillor David Noakes with additional information on the status and activity of all TRAs in his ward in order to assist with support.

RECOMMENDATIONS

6. The committee's recommendations are listed below.
 1. That the application process for grants and recognition be made less complicated, less bureaucratic and more accessible.

2. That officers create an online application process to complement the paper based process, so that tenants' and residents' associations (TRAs) have options for making applications. This to be completed within six months.
3. That there be an emphasis on support for TRAs to make funding applications over the next few months, rather than on recognition, in order to clear the backlog of applications and enable organisations to receive funds.
4. That it be obligatory for a Resident Involvement Officer to attend every TRA AGM, and that officers use this occasion to assist TRAs in their applications for recognition and funding.
5. That TRAs create a standing item at every AGM covering the administration needed to complete the recognition and funding application process.
6. That the Resident Involvement Team be asked to consider how to work with ward councillors to support TRAs.
7. That a report on resident engagement be sent to the Housing Commission, Southwark Tenants' Council and Southwark Homeowners' Council.
8. That officers be asked to return to overview & scrutiny committee in six months' time to report on progress on the above recommendations.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Overview & Scrutiny Committee 8 May 2012	Scrutiny Team 160 Tooley Street London SE1 2QH	Peter Roberts 020 7525 4350

APPENDICES

No.	Title
Appendix A	Report of strategic director of housing - resident involvement and resident association recognition and grants

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Shelley Burke, Head of Overview & Scrutiny	
Report Author	Peter Roberts, Scrutiny Project Manager	
Version	Final	
Dated	29 May 2012	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Officer Title	Comments Sought	Comments included
Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance	N/a	N/a
Finance Director	N/a	N/a
Chief Officers	N/a	N/a
Cabinet Member	N/a	N/a
Date final report sent to Constitutional Team	29 May 2012	

Response to scrutiny recommendations on resident involvement

TRA GRANTS

Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to respond to your recommendations and requests for further information. I have set out our comments below. I hope that they are helpful to you.

Requests for additional information

In response to your requests for additional information, the committee asked officers to circulate all members of the committee with additional information on:

The number of TRAs who made applications in 2009/10

I can confirm that 104 TRAs applied for grant funding and 17 applications were turned down because they did not meet the funding criteria. 58 TRAs did not apply. In total, there were 162 TRAs that could have applied for funding during that year.

Officers' contact with all TRAs, in order to assist with the recognition and grant application process

All TRAs have a named officer. Part of the duties of an officer under the new grants system is to provide forms to the TRAs as their AGM approaches and then to follow up to get the form returned. (Under the old system, all TRAs were sent forms at a single point in the year). Help in completing the forms is available from resident involvement officer, the community training staff within tenant and resident involvement, area management staff and the SGTO. Contact is logged on the resident involvement database.

TRAs who have not made an application for funding and recognition, in order to assist ward councillors with supporting local TRAs to complete an application, where appropriate.

There are 72 TRAs that have not applied for recognition and grant in 2011/12. This includes eight tenant management organisations which are also recognised as TRAs. We would welcome help from councillors to encourage TRAs to apply, and staff from the team are happy to assist TRAs to make their applications.

The committee also asked officers to provide Councillor David Noakes with additional information on the status and activity of all TRAs in his ward in order to assist with support and I can confirm that Cllr Noakes has been provided with the information requested.

Initial response to recommendations

In response to the recommendations of the Committee, I have outlined our initial feedback below:

That the application process for grants and recognition be made less complicated, less bureaucratic and more accessible.

We ask residents for feedback on the form as part of the grant application process and I have summarised below the feedback that we have received from residents regarding the current form.

Ease of completing form

Completing form

Easy, no problems	52	66%
Some difficulty	23	29%
Very hard	4	5%

79

Help with completing the form

Used RI team

Very good	15	42%
Good	10	28%
Satisfactory	5	14%
Not satisfactory	2	6%

36

Used area housing staff

Very good	0	0%
Good	4	57%
Satisfactory	1	14%
Not satisfactory	0	0%

7

Used SGTO

Very good	3	25%
Good	6	50%
Satisfactory	1	8%
Not satisfactory	0	0%

12

Following the committee meeting, the form is being reviewed by the residents who form part of the tenant fund management committee and it is currently being redesigned by the council's Customer Experience team to make it simpler for residents to use. We plan to introduce the new improved form from the start of August and a copy of the new form will also be sent to all Committee members.

We will also use AGMs to collect as much of the information needed for recognition and funding as possible, in order to reduce the burden on those who complete the forms for TRAs.

That officers create an online application process to complement the paper based process, so that tenants' and residents' associations (TRAs) have options for making applications. This to be completed within six months.

We plan to introduce a web version of the form as soon as the new form has been finalised and agreed with residents. Our target for introducing the new on line form is to have this up and running by October.

That there be an emphasis on support for TRAs to make funding applications over the next few months, rather than on recognition, in order to clear the backlog of applications and enable organisations to receive funds.

There is no longer a backlog in processing applications and all grant applications have either been responded to with a funding decision or with a request for additional information so that a funding decision can be made. We are now turning completed applications round within five days (target is 14 days) which helps to outline the advantage of moving to the new system where applications come in throughout the year. The RI team has a target to achieve 100% applications for 2011/12 by 30 September 2012, although it is accepted that some TRAs will choose not to apply.

That it be obligatory for a Resident Involvement Officer to attend every TRA AGM, and that officers use this occasion to assist TRAs in their applications for recognition and funding.

Yes – This is agreed and these arrangements are now in place. We will use attendance at these meetings as an opportunity to gather information that we need for recognition and funding, and also to see generally how the group is doing and to check whether they need any additional support.

That TRAs create a standing item at every AGM covering the administration needed to complete the recognition and funding application process.

We will suggest this to TRAs but the feedback that we have had from residents is that they think that it would generally work better to liaise with the secretary (or whoever the TRA nominates) to complete the form.

That the Resident Involvement Team be asked to consider how to work with ward councillors to support TRAs.

We already liaise with ward members on TRA issues. The RI managers are happy to meet with ward councillors to discuss TRAs in their wards, if this would be useful. We will also write to all members to provide them with details of the officers responsible for supporting TRAs and arrange regular member engagement sessions.

That a report on resident engagement be sent to the Housing Commission, Southwark Tenants' Council and Southwark Homeowners' Council.

The report has been placed on the agenda for Tenant Council on 9th July 2012 and we have also asked for the report to be presented to Home Owners Council on 25th July 2012. A copy of the report has also been forwarded to the Housing Commission for information.

That officers be asked to return to overview & scrutiny committee in six months' time to report on progress on the above recommendations.

We will prepare an update report for the Committee in 6 month's time. We will also be reporting to Housing Scrutiny sub committee on 9th July 2012.

I hope this information is helpful to you. Please let me know if you have any queries or comments.

Yours sincerely

Gerri Scott
Strategic Director of Housing Services

TRAs and TMOs with no grant/recognition form for 2011/12

As at 26 June 2012

Ward 1	Ward 2	TRA	Type
Brunswick Park		72 Grove Lane	TRA
Brunswick Park		Brunswick Park Neighbourhood T&RA	TRA
Brunswick Park		Gilesmead TRA	TRA
Brunswick Park		Lettsom Move Forward (TRA)	TRA
Brunswick Park		Sceaux Gardens TRA	TRA
Brunswick Park		Southampton Way TRA	TRA
Brunswick Park		Wilson's Road RA	TRA
Camberwell Green		Brandon 3 TRA	TRA
Camberwell Green		Castlemead TRA	TRA
Camberwell Green		Crawford TRA	TRA
Camberwell Green	Brunswick Park	D'Eynsford TRA	TRA
Camberwell Green		Elmington T&RA	TRA
Camberwell Green		Grosvenor TRA	TRA
Camberwell Green		Poets Corner TRA	TRA
Cathedrals		Cooper Close TMO	TMO
Cathedrals		Dodson and Amigo TRA	TRA
Cathedrals		Falcon Point TMO	TMO
Cathedrals		Lant and Bittern Streets TRA	TRA
Cathedrals		Styles House TRA	TRA
Chaucer		Albert Barnes TRA	TRA
Chaucer		Decima TRA	TRA
Chaucer		Rockingham Community Centre Association	TRA
Chaucer		Tabard Gardens Central TRA	TRA
Chaucer		Tabard Gardens South TRA	TRA
Chaucer		Haddonhall TMO	TMO
College		Lordship Lane and Melford Court TRA	TRA
East Dulwich		Friern Road TRA	TRA
East Walworth		Alvey TRA	TRA
East Walworth		Comus House TRA	TRA
East Walworth		Rodney Road TRA	TRA
East Walworth		Browning EMB	TMO
Faraday		BACC 84 TRA (Bradenham)	TRA
Faraday		Nelson TRA	TRA
Grange		Crosby Lockyer and Hamilton TRA	TRA
Grange		Kipling TRA	TRA
Grange		SHACCA	TRA
Grange		Tanner House TMO	TMO
Grange	Chaucer	Tabard Gardens North TRA	TRA
Livesey		Acorn TRA	TRA
Livesey		Northfield House TRA	TRA
Newington		Brandon 2 TRA	TRA
Newington		Draper Estate TRA	TRA
Newington		Kennington Park House TMO	TMO
Newington		Kennington Park House TRA	TRA
Newington		Newington Estate TRA	TRA
Newington		Pasley Estate TRA	TRA
Newington		Surrey Gardens TRA	TRA

Nunhead		Juniper House TMO	TMO
Nunhead		Brenchley Gardens TRA	TRA
Nunhead		Brimtonroy	TRA
Peckham		Bells Gardens TRA	TRA
Peckham		Dragon TRA	TRA
Peckham		Gloucester Grove TRA	TRA
Peckham		Pennack TRA	TRA
Peckham		Sumner TRA	TRA
Peckham Rye		Rye Hill TRA	TRA
Riverside		Arnold Estate TRA	TRA
Riverside	Rotherhithe	Millpond TRA	TRA
Riverside		Neckinger Estate TRA	TRA
Riverside		SPAM TRA	TRA
Riverside		Two Towers TMO	TMO
Rotherhithe		Abbeyfield TRA	TRA
Rotherhithe		Adams Gardens TRA	TRA
Rotherhithe		Addy House TRA	TRA
Rotherhithe		Parkside TRA	TRA
South Bermondsey		Manor Estate TRA	TRA
South Bermondsey		Rouel Road Estate TRA	TRA
South Bermondsey		Southwark Park Estate TRA	TRA
South Camberwell		Bessemer Grange TRA	TRA
South Camberwell		East Dulwich Estate TRA	TRA
South Camberwell		East Dulwich Grove Estate TRA	TRA
Surrey Docks		Osprey TRA	TRA
The Lane		Clifton TRA	TRA
Village		DRMO	TMO

Active tenant & resident associations by ward

22 June 2012

Ward 1	Ward 2	TRA	Status
		Congreve & Barlow TRA	Recognised
		Consort TRA	Recognised
Brunswick Park		72 Grove Lane	Recognised
Brunswick Park		Brunswick Park Neighbourhood T&RA	Recognised
Brunswick Park		Gilesmead TRA	Recognised
Brunswick Park		Glebe North and South TRA	Recognised
Brunswick Park		Lettsom Move Forward (TRA)	Recognised
Brunswick Park		Sceaux Gardens TRA	Recognised
Brunswick Park		Southampton Way TRA	Recognised
Brunswick Park		Wells Way triangle	Recognised
Brunswick Park		Wilsons Road RA	Recognised
Camberwell Green		Brandon 3 TRA	Recognised
Camberwell Green		Castlemead TRA	Recognised
Camberwell Green		Crawford TRA	Recognised
Camberwell Green	Brunswick Park	D'Eynsford TRA	Recognised
Camberwell Green		Elmington T&RA	Recognised
Camberwell Green		Goschen TRA	Recognised
Camberwell Green		Grosvenor TRA	Recognised
Camberwell Green		Poets Corner TRA	Recognised
Camberwell Green		Wyndham and Comber TRA	Recognised
Cathedrals		Dodson and Amigo TRA	Recognised
Cathedrals		Hayles TRA	Recognised
Cathedrals		Lant and Bittern Streets TRA	Recognised
Cathedrals		L'borough and Scovell TRA	Recognised
Cathedrals		Nelson Square Gardens TRA	Recognised
Cathedrals		Perronet House TRA	Recognised
Cathedrals		Styles House TRA	Recognised
Cathedrals		Webber and Quentin TRA	Recognised
Chaucer		Albert Barnes TRA	Recognised
Chaucer		Decima TRA	Recognised
Chaucer		Lawson TRA	Recognised

Chaucer		Meadow Row TRA	Recognised
Chaucer		Rockingham Community Centre Association	Recognised
Chaucer		Tabard Gardens Central TRA	Recognised
Chaucer		Tabard Gardens South TRA	Recognised
College		Croxted Road TRA	Recognised
College		Kingswood Estate TRA (KETRA)	Recognised
College		Lordship Lane and Melford Court TRA	Recognised
College		Sydenham Hill TRA	Recognised
East Dulwich		Friern Road TRA	Attempting restart
East Walworth		Alvey TRA	Recognised
East Walworth		BATRA (Bricklayers Arms)	Recognised
East Walworth		Comus House TRA	Recognised
East Walworth		Kinglake TRA	Recognised
East Walworth		Mardyke House TRA	Recognised
East Walworth		Rodney Road TRA	Recognised
East Walworth		Salisbury TRA	Recognised
Faraday		Aylesbury Estate TRA	Recognised
Faraday		BACC 84 TRA (Bradenham)	Recognised
Faraday		Elizabeth TRA	Recognised
Faraday		Nelson TRA	Recognised
Faraday		Thurlow Lodge TRA	Recognised
Faraday		Wendover TRA	Recognised
Grange		Crosby Lockyer and Hamilton TRA	Recognised
Grange		Kipling TRA	Recognised
Grange		Setchell Estate TRA	Recognised
Grange		SHACCA	Recognised
Grange	Chaucer	Tabard Gardens North TRA	Recognised
Livesey		Acorn TRA	Recognised
Livesey		Bonamy and Bramcote TRA	Recognised
Livesey		Ledbury TRA	Recognised
Livesey		Lindley TRA	Attempting restart
Livesey		Northfield House TRA	Recognised
Livesey		Tustin TRA	Recognised
Livesey		Unwin and Friary TRA	Recognised
Newington		Alberta TRA	Recognised
Newington		Brandon 1 TRA	Recognised

Newington		Brandon 2 TRA	Recognised
Newington		Conant & Rutley TRA	Recognised
Newington		Draper Estate TRA	Attempting restart
Newington	Faraday	Gateway TRA	Recognised
Newington		Kennington Park House TRA	Recognised
Newington		Newington Estate TRA	Recognised
Newington		Pasley Estate TRA	Recognised
Newington		Pelier Estate TRA	Recognised
Newington		Penrose Estate TRA	Recognised
Newington		Pullens TRA	Recognised
Newington		Surrey Gardens TRA	Recognised
Nunhead		Asbury Road Resident Association	Recognised
Nunhead		Brayards Estate TRA	Recognised
Nunhead		Brenchley Gardens TRA	Recognised
Nunhead		Brimtonroy	Recognised
Nunhead		Buchan Tenants TRA	Recognised
Nunhead		Cossall Estate TRA	Recognised
Nunhead		Pelican Plus TRA (formerly Puffin TRA)	Recognised
Peckham		Bells Gardens TRA	Recognised
Peckham		Caroline Gardens TRA	Recognised
Peckham		Dragon TRA	Recognised
Peckham		Gloucester Grove TRA	Recognised
Peckham		North Peckham TRA	Recognised
Peckham		Oliver Goldsmith TRA	Attempting restart
Peckham		Pennack TRA	Recognised
Peckham		Sumner TRA	Recognised
Peckham Rye		Rye Hill TRA	Recognised
Peckham Rye		Tappesfield TRA	Attempting restart
Riverside		Arnold Estate TRA	Recognised
Riverside		Dickens Estate TRA	Recognised
Riverside		Four Squares TRA	Recognised
Riverside		Keetons TRA	Recognised
Riverside	Rotherhithe	Millpond TRA	Recognised
Riverside		Neckinger Estate TRA	Recognised
Riverside		SPAM TRA	Recognised
Riverside		St Crispins	Recognised

Riverside	VETRA TRA (Vauban)	Recognised
Rotherhithe	Abbeyfield TRA	Recognised
Rotherhithe	Adams Gardens TRA	Recognised
Rotherhithe	Addy House TRA	Recognised
Rotherhithe	Canada Estate TRA	Recognised
Rotherhithe	Hawkstone TRA	Recognised
Rotherhithe	Mayflower TRA	Recognised
Rotherhithe	Parkside TRA	Recognised
Rotherhithe	Silverlock TRA	Recognised
Rotherhithe	St Helena and Oldfield	Recognised
South Bermondsey	ASCO TRA	Recognised
South Bermondsey	Longfield	Recognised
South Bermondsey	Manor Estate TRA	Recognised
South Bermondsey	Rouel Road Estate TRA	Recognised
South Bermondsey	Southwark Park Estate TRA	Recognised
South Camberwell	BARA (Barry Area Residents Association)	Recognised
South Camberwell	Bessemer Grange TRA	Recognised
South Camberwell	Champion Hill TRA	Attempting restart
South Camberwell	East Dulwich Estate TRA	Recognised
South Camberwell	East Dulwich Grove Estate TRA	Recognised
Surrey Docks	Osprey TRA	Recognised
The Lane	Clifton TRA	Recognised

This page is intentionally blank.

**HOUSING, ENVIRONMENT, TRANSPORT & COMMUNITY SAFETY
SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE**

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2012-13

AGENDA DISTRIBUTION LIST (OPEN)

NOTE: Original held by Scrutiny Team; all amendments/queries to Fitzroy Williams Tel: 020 7525 7102

Name	No of copies	Name	No of copies
Sub-Committee Members		Council Officers	
Councillor Gavin Edwards (Chair)	1	Gerri Scott, Strategic Director of Housing	1
Councillor Graham Neale (Vice-Chair)	1	Deborah Collins, Strategic Director of Environment & Leisure	1
Councillor Chris Brown	1	Jonathan Toy, Head of Community Safety and Enforcement	1
Councillor Michael Bukola	1	Shelley Burke, Head of Overview & Scrutiny	1
Councillor Lorraine Lauder	1	John Bibby, Principal Cabinet Assistant	1
Councillor Tim McNally	1	Alex Doel, Labour Political Assistant	1
Councillor Martin Seaton	1	Paul Green, Liberal Democrat Office	1
		Tania Robinson, Environment Dept	1
		Fitzroy Williams, Scrutiny Team SPARES	10
Reserves		External	
Councillor James Barber	1	Local History Library	1
Councillor Patrick Diamond	1		
Councillor Darren Merrill	1		
Councillor Michael Situ	1		
Councillor Geoffrey Thornton	1		
Co-Opted Members		Total:	37
John Nosworthy (Homeowners' Council)	1	Dated: June 2012	
Jane Salmon (Homeowners' Council Reserve)	1		
Miriam Facey (Tenants' Council)	1		
Lesley Wertheimer (Tenants' Council Reserve)	1		
Other Members			
Councillor Ian Wingfield [Deputy Leader]	1		
Councillor Catherine Bowman [Chair, OSC]	1		